# Mifflin County Agricultural Conservation Easement Program 



2024 Application Packet

Mifflin County Agricultural Land Preservation Board c/o Mifflin County Conservation District<br>20 Windmill Hill \#4<br>Burnham, PA 17009<br>(717) 248-4695

## Dear Prospective Applicant:

Attached is the information and forms you will need to complete in order to apply for the Mifflin County Agricultural Land Preservation Program's 2024 competitive round.

The initial application form is found in Section A of the enclosed materials, listed as pages 1-3. The Mifflin County Conservation District can assist you with the required items on page 2 (maps, soils report, capability class table). Complete and sign this form and mail or drop it off at the address listed above. Sections B, C, and D (the Land Evaluation \& Site Assessment, or LESA) are included to show how applicant farms are scored and ranked and are for your information only. Please note that the application (Section A) must be received no later than 4:00 p.m. Friday, June 28, 2024.

Note: the approval of this year's conservation easements are subject to available state funds and applications must meet the following minimum criteria (Administrative Manual Section 1.2, p.3):

1. located in an Agricultural Security Area
2. be contiguous acreage of at least 50 acres, unless the tract is at least 10 acres and is utilized for a crop unique to the area or is contiguous to a property which has a perpetual conservation easement in place.
3. contain at least $50 \%$ soils of Capability Classes I through IV
4. contain the greater of $50 \%$ or 10 acres of harvested cropland, pasture, or grazing land

If you have any additional questions contact Trevor Weaver, MCCD District Manager, at (717) 953-3148 or by e-mail at tweaver@mifflinccd.com.

## APPENDIX, A <br> MIFFLIN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD <br> SECTION A <br> Agricultural Conservation Easement Application Form

## I. General Information

Name(s) $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$

Social Security \# (s) $\qquad$
Telephone \# (s) $\qquad$
(Please include best times to contact)
County $\qquad$ Municipality $\qquad$
Location of the Agricultural Security Area (ASA) in which your farm is located and recorded:
$\qquad$ Township, Mifflin County -- Recorded ASA as listed below:

Book: $\qquad$ Volume: $\qquad$ Page: $\qquad$
Street location of farmland tract $\qquad$

Directions from nearest state route $\qquad$

Total acreage of farmland tract $\qquad$
Total acreage offered for easement purchase $\qquad$
Deed of Ownership: Reference: $\qquad$ Book: $\qquad$ Volume: $\qquad$ Page: $\qquad$
County tax map, include tax parcel number or account number

Date of U.S.D.A. Natural Resource Conservation Service Conservation Plan, if any

Name (s), address and telephone number of person (s) to contact to view the Farmland tract:

## II. Maps

The applicant is required to provide the following maps as part of this application. Each of the farm parcel boundaries must be clearly identified on every map.

1. Location Map - A United States Geographical Survey Topographical Map showing the location of the farmland tract. A copy of this map may be obtained at the Mifflin County Conservation District Office.
2. Soils Map - The soils map of the farmland tract must be color coded as follows: Soils maps are available at the Conservation District office in Burnham.

| Class I | - | Green |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class II | - | Yellow |
| Class III | - | Red |
| Class IV | - | Blue |
| Unique Land | - | Purple |
| Wetlands | - | Cross Hatch or include on separate map |

3. Tax Map - Tax map (s) of the farmland tract with map reference and tax parcel numbers clearly indicated. Tax maps are available at the Mifflin County Tax Assessor's Office.

## III. Soils Report

The applicant is required to provide a soils report for the farmland tract as part of the application. This report should contain a listing of the soil types found on the tract and the number of acres of each type. Information for this report can be found in the Mifflin County Soil Survey available through the Mifflin Conservation District Office.

## IV. Capability Class Table

The applicant is required to provide a table showing the capability class and use of the land as part of this application.

| Class | Acres of Cropland | Acres of Pasture | Other | Total Acres |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class I |  |  |  |  |
| Class II |  |  |  |  |
| Class III |  |  |  |  |
| Class IV |  |  |  |  |
| Other Classes |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Other $=$ Woodland/Wetland areas $=$ $\qquad$ Class I - IV Soils = $\qquad$ \%

Homestead/buildings = $\qquad$ Class $\mathrm{I}=$ $\qquad$ \% Class II = $\qquad$ \%

## Signatures

It is necessary for all owners of the farmland tract to give their approval and consent to this application. In the following section, list any judgments or liens against your farm:

Signed:
Date: $\qquad$
Judgments or Liens:
Please submit this application to:
Mifflin County Ag Land Preservation Board
c/o Mifflin County Conservation District
20 Windmill Hill \#4
Burnham, PA 17009

Please call the Mifflin County Conservation District Office (717-953-3148) or Program Administrator Dan Dunmire (717-513-2637) if you have questions or would like assistance in completing this application.

Those applicants who receive preference for appraisal will be asked to submit a $\$ 500$ good faith deposit prior to ordering the appraisal. In addition, if the easement purchase offer is accepted, the applicant will be asked to pay the costs of a survey of the easement area by a licensed surveyor. Both the cost of the survey and the good faith deposit will be refunded after closing unless the applicant refuses an offer to purchase the conservation easements on the property at full market value or unless the applicant breaks a sales agreement with the Mifflin County Agricultural Land Preservation Board. The deposit is to be held in escrow and will be refunded within 30 days of the closing.

Soils and Application Assistance Mifflin County Conservation District
20 Windmill Hill, \#4
Burnham, PA 17009 (717)-953-3148
Natural Resource
Conservation Plan------------------- Natural Resource Conservation Service Office
20 Windmill Hill, \#4
Burnham, PA 17009 (717)-248-9541 X 3
Deed Reference---------------------- Registrar and Recorders Office, Mifflin County Courthouse (717) 242-1449

Tax Account Numbers ------------- Office of the Chief Assessor, Mifflin County Courthouse 20 North Wayne Street
P.O. Box 68

Lewistown, PA 17044 (717)-248-5783

## APPENDIX, A <br> MIFFLIN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD SECTION, B <br> Land Evaluation Worksheet



Total
Acres

Total Relative Value per Soil

Total Relative Value per Soil / Total Acres = Average Relative Value

(Average Relative Value) X. $5=$ Total Soil Points
$\qquad$
) $\mathrm{X} 5=$. $\qquad$

# Mifflin County Soils 

Class, Slope \& Relative Values

| Soil Symbol | LC | Soil Name | Slope | Relative Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As | 1 | Ashton | 0-3 | 100 |
| AbB | 2 e | Allegheny | 2-8 | 100 |
| AdB | 2 e | Allenwood | 2-8 | 100 |
| BuB | 2 e | Buchanan | 3-8 | 100 |
| CaB | 2 e | Chavies | 2-8 | 100 |
| EdB | 2 e | Edom | 3-8 | 100 |
| НаВ | 2 e | Hagerstown | 2-8 | 100 |
| HcB | 2 e | Hagerstown | 3-8 | 100 |
| HhB | 2 e | Hazelton | 3-8 | 100 |
| KrB | 2 e | Kreamer | 2-8 | 100 |
| LaB | 2 e | Laidig | 3-8 | 100 |
| MeB | 2 e | Mertz | 3-8 | 100 |
| MrB | 2 e | Morrison | 3-8 | 100 |
| MuB | 2 e | Murrill | 3-8 | 100 |
| MoA | 2 w | Monongahela | 0-3 | 100 |
| No | 2w | Nolin | 0-3 | 100 |
| Ph | 2w | Philo | 0-3 | 100 |
| Po | 2 w | Pope | 0-3 | 100 |
| WaB | 2 e | Watson | 2-8 | 100 |
| EeB | 2 e | Edom-Klinesville | 3-8 | 93 |
| ErB | 2 e | Ernest | 2-8 | 93 |
| MoB | 2 e | Monongahela | 3-8 | 93 |
| Ne | 2 w | Newark | 0-3 | 93 |
| EfB | 3 e | Edom-Weikert | 3-8 | 93 |
| AdC | 3 e | Allenwood | 8-15 | 93 |
| HcC | 3 e | Hagerstown | 8-15 | 93 |
| HhC | 3 e | Hazelton | 8-15 | 93 |
| MeC | 3 e | Mertz | 8-15 | 93 |
| MuC | 3 e | Murrill | 8-15 | 93 |
| ElB | 3 s | Elliber | 3-8 | 93 |
| BkB | 2 e | Berks | 2-8 | 68 |
| BkC | 3 e | Berks | 8-15 | 68 |
| BuC | 3 e | Buchanan | 8-15 | 68 |
| EdC | 3 e | Edom | 8-15 | 68 |
| EeC | 3 e | Edom-Kinesville | 8-15 | 68 |
| EfC | 3 e | Edom-Weikert | 8-15 | 68 |
| ErC | 3 e | Ernest | 8-15 | 68 |
| KlB | 3 e | Klinesville | 3-8 | 68 |
| KrC | 3 e | Kreamer | 8-15 | 68 |
| LaC | 3 e | Laidig | 8-15 | 68 |
| MrC | 3 e | Morrison | 8-15 | 68 |
| OpB | 3 e | Opequon | 3-8 | 68 |
| WeB | 3 e | Weikert | 3-8 | 68 |
| AlB | 3 w | Alvira | 2-8 | 68 |
| At | 3 w | Atkins | 0-3 | 68 |
| Ev | 3 w | Evendale | 0-4 | 68 |

## Mifflin County Soils <br> Class, Slope \& Relative Values

| Soil Symbol |  | LC |  | Soil Name | Slope |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Relative Value

## APPENDIX, A <br> MIFFLIN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD SECTION C <br> Site Assessment Worksheet

The site assessment portion of the LESA consists of 14 factors which relate to the viability of the site for present and future agricultural use. These factors consider the development pressures in the area and the likelihood of future development pressures in the area, as well as the likelihood that these pressures will impact farm operations. The three primary factors considered and their points and weights are listed below. Explanations for each factor can be found Appendix A, Section C, pages 8-14 of the Mifflin County Agricultural Land Preservation Program.

| Factor | Allocated Points | Weight | Maximum Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Potential | 100 | .15 | 15 |
| Farmland Potential | 100 | .25 | 25 |
| Clustering Potential | 100 | .10 | 10 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |

## 1. DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL FACTORS

Maximum Points $=100$
Weight Factor $=.15$
These factors are intended to identify the extent to which development pressures from urban areas cause conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses. The greater a farm's likelihood to conversion is to non-farm uses the higher its score.

1-A) Availability of Public Sanitary Sewer

A farm is more likely to be surrounded by incompatible land uses or be converted to nonagricultural use of if it is an area that has access to public sewer or is expected to have access to such services within 20 years. A tract of land that is in close proximity to sewer service shall receive a higher score.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sewer line 1 mile or more away | 0 |
| Sewer line within 1 mile | 15 |
| Sewer line within 2500 feet | 20 |
| Sewer line within 1000 feet | 25 |
| Sewer line adjacent to tract | 30 |

SCORE: $\qquad$

1-A.1) For Municipalities Without a Public Sewage System
Percent of soils in classes I or II (Alternative Factor)
Calculate the percent of soils that would have a slight to moderate limitation for on-lot sewage disposal. A tract of land which has a higher percentage of soils that are suitable for on-lot sewage (Class I and II soils) shall receive a higher score.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| $0 \%$ to $5 \%$ of soils in classes I or II | 0 |
| $6 \%$ to $20 \%$ of soils in classes I or II | 15 |
| $21 \%$ to $40 \%$ of soils in classes I or II | 20 |
| $41 \%$ to $60 \%$ of soils in classes I or II | 25 |
| $61 \%$ to $100 \%$ of soils in classes I or II | 30 |

SCORE:

1-B) Public Water System
Calculate the distance of tract from a public water system. A tract of land in closer proximity to public water services shall receive a higher score.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | ---: |
| Water line 1 mile or more away | 0 |
| Water line within 1 mile | 5 |
| Water line within 2500 feet | 10 |
| Water line within 1000 feet | 15 |
| Water line adjacent to tract | 20 |

SCORE:

## 1-C) Amount of Road Frontage

Better access to public roads increases the suitability of the tract for subdivision and development. A tract with more road frontage adjacent to crop or pasture land shall receive a higher score.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than .10 miles | 0 |
| Between .11 and .24 miles | 15 |
| Between .25 and .49 miles | 20 |
| Between .50 and .74 miles | 25 |
| Greater than .75 miles | 30 |

SCORE:

## 1-D) Extent of Non-Agricultural Use in Area

The extent of non-agricultural use in an area (within a one mile radius) makes a tract more susceptible to non-agricultural development. The greater the extent of non-agricultural uses the higher the score.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| No significant non-agricultural use in area | 0 |
| Scattered non-agricultural development within 1 mile radius (20 or more lots) | 10 |
| Extensive scattered non-agricultural development within $1 / 2$ mile radius (20 or | 15 |
| more commercial, industrial, or residential lots) |  |
| Intensive non-agricultural development adjacent to tract or in immediate vicinity <br> $(10$ or more commercial, industrial, or residential lots) | 20 |

SCORE:

SUBTOTAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL SCORE

$$
(1 A+1 B+1 C+1 D)=
$$

## 2. FARMLAND POTENTIAL FACTORS

Maximum Points $=100$
Weight Factor $=.25$
These factors measure the potential agricultural productivity or farming practices on the site. The higher the quality, the more valuable a farm is and the higher its score will be in this category.

2-A) Percentage of the Farm Used for Harvested Cropland, Pasture, and Grazing Land
Large amount of productive farmland make a farm more viable. At least $50 \%$ of the farmland tract must meet these criteria under the State minimum criteria. The purpose of the preservation program is to protect viable farmland. If a large percentage of a tract is devoted to other uses, the tract will receive a lower score.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than 55\% | 0 |
| Between 56\% and 65\% | 5 |
| Between 66\% and 75\% | 10 |
| Between 76\% and 85\% | 15 |
| Greater than 85\% | 20 |

SCORE:

## 2-B) Stewardship of the Land and Use of Conservation Practices and Best Management Practices

Farmland tracts under consideration must have implemented sound, conservation land best management practices, including but not limited to soil erosion and sedimentation control and nutrient management. This factor addresses the extent to which the applicant has demonstrated good stewardship of the land and the use of conservation management. Such practices enhance the ability of the subject farm to sustain long-term soil productivity. In order to receive a score, a farm must have a minimum of $50 \%$ of its NRCS plan implemented.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than $50 \%$ implemented conservation plan | 0 |
| Between $50 \%$ and 65\% implemented conservation plan | 5 |
| Between 66\% and 75\% implemented conservation plan | 10 |
| Greater than 75\% implemented conservation plan | 15 |

## SCORE:

2-C) Acreage of the Tract Proposed for Easement Purchase. Number of Acres
The viability of farming increases with farm size. A farm unit at or above the optimum size in the county will be assigned a high value and the value will decrease as the amount of land decreases. This factor recognizes that larger acreages of cropland and pastureland are necessary to support the viability of agriculture on the local community and operate an efficient farming operation. The more acres proposed the higher score the tract will receive.

## Criteria

Points
Less than 50 acres but 10 or more contiguous to
perpetually eased tract
Between 51 and 109 acres 10

Greater than 109 acres 15

## SCORE:

2-D) Percent Acreage of Farmland Soils of Statewide Importance and/or Prime Farmland Soils
The greater the percentage of total acres designated as either Farmland Soils of Statewide Importance or Prime Farmland Soils, the greater is the likelihood that the tract will remain a viable agricultural entity.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than 30\% | 0 |
| Between 31\% and 45\% | 5 |
| Between 46\% and 55\% | 10 |
| Greater than 55\% | 15 |

SCORE:

## 2-E) Farm Product Sales

This factor measures the productivity of the tract. A higher score is given to a more productive farm measured by the dollar value of the annual receipts.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gross annual receipts of less than $\$ 25,000$ | 0 |
| Gross annual receipts of $\$ 25,000-\$ 39,999$ | 5 |
| Gross annual receipts of greater than $\$ 40,000$ | 10 |

SCORE: $\qquad$
2-F) Percentage of Landowners Total Family Income Derived from Farming Operations (Not Including Tenants Income)

Criteria Points
Less than 50\%
0
Between 51\% and 75\%
5
Greater than 75\%
SCORE:

## 2-G) Historic, Scenic, and Environmentally Sensitive Qualities

Tracts declared or listed by local, state or federal agencies as historic, scenic, open space, or cultural and tracts adjoining designated protected areas such as flood plains, wildlife habitat, parks, forests and educational sites will be awarded higher values reflecting broader policies affecting the farmland landscape. Environmentally sensitive and historical areas can buffer farmland from noncompatible land uses.
Criteria PointsIs not adjacent, or does not contain any documented historicalor environmental characteristics 0Has features favorable to preservation - significant butundocumented historical features and/or limited but recognizedenvironmental features5Has significant features favorable to preservation - farmlocated adjacent to area with special environmentalcircumstances.10Has exceptional features favorable for preservation - farmlisted on the National Register of Historic Places, is within astate designated scenic area - or is a designated Century Farmsor Bicentennial Farms15

SCORE: $\qquad$

[^0]
## 3. CLUSTERING POTENTIAL FACTORS

Maximum Points $=100$
Weight Factor $=.10$
The following factors measure the importance of preserving blocks of farmland which support commercial agriculture and help to shield agriculture from conflicts with incompatible land uses. The closer a farm is to other preserved farms or other areas targeted for preservation the higher the score that tract shall receive.

## 3-A) Consistent with County Important Agricultural Areas Map

The County Board, after consultation with local agriculture leaders and County planners, has determined that certain land areas in the area are important to the current and future agriculture of Mifflin County. These are designated as either green or yellow on the Mifflin County Important Agricultural Areas Map. The Official County Important Agricultural Areas Map is located in the Conservation District Office. A reduction of this map, to be used for reference purposes only, can be found in Appendix B of this document.

Farms located in areas designated as desirable for agricultural use are more viable for easement purchases. Compatibility with the county and/or local municipality planning is advisable.
Criteria ..... PointsTract is not in an area designated as important for sustaining
agricultural infrastructure ..... 0
Less than $50 \%$ of the tract is in an area designated as important for sustaining agricultural infrastructure ..... 10
Between $50 \%$ and $75 \%$ of the tract is in an area designated as important for sustaining agricultural infrastructure ..... 25Greater than $75 \%$ of the tract is in an area designated asimportant for sustaining agricultural infrastructure35

## SCORE:

3-B) Proximity of this Farm to other Farmland containing Agricultural Conservation Easements.

Clustering of agricultural conservation easement purchases in the same area helps to develop a critical mass of farmland which can support commercial agriculture and reduce conflicts with incompatible land uses. This factor takes into account the number and/or acreage of "preserved farms" at various distances from the subject site being rated, giving more weight to properties that are close to the "preserved farm". This factor also takes into account consideration of easements held by private non-profit organizations.

| Criteria | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| One or more farms have conservation easements further away than 2 miles | 0 |
| One or more farms have conservation easements within 2 miles | 15 |
| One or more farms have conservation easements within 1 miles | 20 |
| One or more farms have conservation easements within 2500 feet | 25 |
| One or more farms have conservation easements within 1000 feet | 35 |
|  | SCORE: |

SCORE:

## SUBTOTAL CLUSTERING

 POTENTIAL SCORE$(3 \mathrm{~A}+3 \mathrm{~B}+3 \mathrm{C})=$

SUBTOTAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL SCORE (from above) = $\qquad$ X. $15=$ TOTAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL POINTS = $\qquad$

SUBTOTAL FARMLAND POTENTIAL SCORE (from above) = $\qquad$ X $\mathbf{. 2 5}=$ TOTAL FARMLAND POTENTIAL POINTS =


## SECTION D

MIFFLIN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD
LESA Summary Sheet

## POINTS MAXIMUM PERCENT



Farmland Tract Site Assessment Score
(From Appendix A - Section C)


NOTE: A minimum of 25 total soils points is required for program eligibility


[^0]:    SUBTOTAL FARMLAND
    POTENTIAL SCORE $\quad(2 \mathrm{~A}+2 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{C}+2 \mathrm{D}+2 \mathrm{E}+2 \mathrm{~F}+2 \mathrm{G})=$

